

# BICYCLE-TRAILWAYS-PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE (BTPAC) 2020 TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES (TA) PROGRAM SCORING WORKSHOP AGENDA

DATE: Thursday, August 8, 2019

TIME: 9:07 a.m.

PLACE: Vista Center 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Conference Room 4E-12

2300 North Jog Road

West Palm Beach, FL 33411

### **AGENDA**

- Roll Call
- 2. Review TA Program Scoring Tables from previous three years (2017, 2018, 2019)
- 3. Review adopted 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Goals and Objectives TPA staff will review the attached Goals and Objectives from the 2045 LRTP.
- 4. Review Draft 2020 TA Program Scoring Table and Discuss Alternative Scoring
- 5. <u>MOTION TO RECOMMEND ADOPTION</u> of the BTPAC's final recommendation for the 2020 TA Program Scoring Table
  - BTPAC members present at the workshop will recommend adoption of a finalized draft 2020 TA Scoring Table as proposed by BTPAC.
- 6. Adjournment

### **NOTICE**

In accordance with Section 286.0105, *Florida Statutes*, if a person decides to appeal any decision made by the board, agency, or commission with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he or she will need a record of the proceedings, and that, for such purposes, he or she may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.

Public participation is solicited without regard to race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, disability or family status. Persons who require special accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act or persons who require translation services for a meeting (free of charge), please call 561-684-4143 or send email to <a href="MBooth@PalmBeachTPA.org">MBooth@PalmBeachTPA.org</a> at least five business days in advance. Hearing impaired individuals are requested to telephone the Florida Relay System at #711.

LRTP		an .				
Value	LRTP Category	Criteria	Value	Scoring	Max	
			buffered bike lanes - 4	8		
		Project improves non-motorized safety by	10'+ shared-use pathways - 3	6		
		providing*:	designated bike lanes - 2	4		
	Cafata Canada		new sidewalks - 1	2		
1 & 6	Safety, Security and Complete Streets	Project improves safety and/or convenience for no (must demonstrate).	on-motorized users	10	30	
		Improves safety in a location identified as a pedes or corridor by the Palm Beach MPO's Pedestrian a	·	10		
		Local Implementation via Local Agency Program (I	LAP) Agreement	10		
4	Maximize MPO Funds	FDOT Implementation on State Highway System v	OOT Implementation on State Highway System with Local Funding for design			
		FDOT Implementation with Local Funding for design	gn	3		
		Madian IIII income within 1 mile of project ve	< 60%	5		
		Median HH income within 1 mile of project vs PBC median income (\$52,806)	60 - <80%	3	5	
		FBC Median income (\$52,800)	80% - <100%	1		
5	Equity		>80%	5		
3	Equity	Traditionally underserved population percentage	>60 - 80%	4		
		within 1 mile of project	>40% -60%	3	5	
		within I fille of project	>20% - 40%	2		
			5-20%	1		
7a	Local Support Environmental	Project is endorsed by members of benefit area (F	HOA, POA, local bike group, etc.)	5	10	
7b	Environmental	Project is unlikely to have adverse environmental	impacts	5		
	Non-motorized	Project improves non-motorized facilities at an int	terchange, bridge, or railroad crossing	6	45	
9	Connectors	Project improves non-motorized connectivity to familes of a transit hub	acilities on PBC Thoroughfare Map within 2	9	15	
10	Efficient Transit	Project improves access to a transit hub		10	10	
		Project is within 2 miles of a school		7	_	
	Proximity Benefit	Project is within 1 mile of a shopping center		5	15	
		Project is within 1 mile of a recreational center or	3			

Note:

### \*Non-Motorized Point System

1. Multiply length (up to 2 miles) by factor shown in value column

## 2017 TA Program Ranks and Scores

BTPAC Rank	TPA Rank	TPA Score	Applicant	Project Description	TAP Funds Requested	Total Project Cost	Cumulative Total	Prioritized
1	1	77	West Palm Beach	Add missing sidewalks in the Northmore Neighborhood and construct multi-use path along East Terrace Road	\$ 591,389.48	\$ 1,266,286.47	\$ 591,389.48	17-1
2	4	53	Westgate CRA	Install streetlights and sidewalks in Belvedere Heights neighborhood	\$ 935,581.93	\$ 1,484,203.18	\$ 1,526,971.41	17-2
3	2	<del>67</del>	Delray Beach	Construct protected bike lanes along Linton Blvd- between Federal Hwy and U.S. 1	-\$ <u>1,000,000.00</u>	-\$4,688,141.18-		
4	3	55	North Palm Beach	Construct bike lanes along Anchorage Drive from northern and southern limits of U.S. 1	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ 1,563,459.25	\$ 2,526,971.41	17-4
5	6	47	Village of Royal Palm	Install LED lighting adjacent to the FPL Pathway from La Mancha Ave. to Lamstein Ln.	\$ 980,424.80	\$ 1,168,856.80	\$ 3,507,396.21	17-5
6	5	51	Wellington	Widen sidewalk to create multi-use path along Big Blue Trace from South Shore Blvd to Southern Blvd	\$ 915,122.80	\$ 1,099,181.20	\$ 4,487,821.01	

Total Available \$ 3,166,905.10 Total Requested \$

5,422,519.01



# **2018 Transportation Alternatives (TA) Program Scoring Palm Beach Transportation Planning Agency**

**SCORING CRITERIA** *Highest Possible* score *is* 100

	ING CRITERIA II	ighest Possible score is 100			
LRTP Value	LRTP Category	Criteria	Value	Scoring	Max
value	LKIP Category	Criteria	*separated bicycle lanes -4	8	IVIAX
			*buffered bike lanes - 4	8	
		Project improves non-motorized safety by	*10'+ shared-use pathways - 3	6	
		providing*:	*designated bike lanes - 2	4	
	Safety,		*new sidewalks - 1	2	-
1 & 6	Security &	Project improves safety and/or convenience	I.		30
	Complete	provide safe routes for non-drivers, including	•	10	
	Streets	individuals with disabilities to access daily ne			
		Improves safety in a location identified as a p	pedestrian and/or bicycle crash		
		hot spot or corridor by the Palm Beach TPA's	Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety	10	
		Plan			
		Local Implementation via Local Agency Progr	am (LAP) Agreement	10	
		FDOT Implementation on State Highway Syst	em with Local Funding for	7	
4	Maximize TPA	design			10
	Funds	FDOT Implementation with Local Funding for	<u> </u>	3	1
		Applicant cancels a previously prioritized and	d funded project within the past	-10	
		12 months	1		
		Median Household income within 1 mile of	< 60%	5	
		project vs PBC median income (\$53,363)	60 - <80%	3	5
			80% - <100%	1	
5	Equity	Traditionally underserved population	>80%	5	
		percentage within 1 mile of project	>60 - 80%	4	_
		(includes minority, limited English	>40% -60%	3	5
		speaking, disability, transit dependent, seniors)	>20% - 40% 5-20%	2 1	
		Project is endorsed by members of benefit a		1	
7a	Local Support	etc.)	rea (HOA, POA, local blke group,	5	
		Project will have positive environmental imp	acts (ie. mitigation activity,	_	10
7b	Environmental	pollution prevention & abatement, stormwa	-	5	
		Project improves non-motorized facilities at	an interchange bridge or		
		railroad crossing	an interchange, bridge, or	6	
	Non-	Tulli odd ci ossing			-
9	motorized	Project improves non-motorized connectivity	y by providing a direct link to		15
	Connectors	facilities on PBC Thoroughfare Map or within	—	9	
		publicly-accessible historic, cultural, and natu	ural areas		
10	Efficient	Project improves access to a transit hub		10	10
	Transit	Project is within 2 miles of a school		7	
	Proximity	Project is within 1 mile of a shopping center		5	15
	Benefit	Project is within 1 mile of a recreational cent	er or park	3	
		- 1 - just to this or a redicational cont			L

<sup>\*</sup>Multiply length (up to 2 miles) by factor shown in value column

## 2018 TA Program Ranks and Scores

BTPAC Rank	TPA Rank	TPA Score	Applicant	Project Description	TA Funds Requested	Total Project Cost	Cumulative Total	Prioritized
1	2	67.8	West Palm Beach	Clear Lake Trail North (Phase 1)	\$999,975	\$1,808,875	\$999,975	18-1
2	1	69.0	PalmTran	Bicycle racks on fixed-route bus fleet	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$1,399,975	
3	4	59.3	Greenacres	Dillman Trail	\$561,200	\$733,610	\$1,961,175	18-2
4	3	61.3	Boca Raton	SW 18th St. Sidewalk	\$1,000,000	\$1,637,931	\$2,961,175	
5	6	54.9	Westgate CRA	Belvedere Heights streetlights & sidewalks - Phase II	\$956,248	\$1,499,643	\$3,917,423	18-3
6	5	55.0	Palm Beach County	CR A1A/Ocean Drive Pedestrian Crossing Enhancements	\$628,895	\$219,861	\$4,546,318	18-4
7	7	49.2	Wellington	Greenview Shores Bike Lanes	\$680,622	\$1,395,141	\$5,226,940	
8	8	47.5	Delray Beach	Brant Bridge Loop Connector Extension	\$535,541	\$1,199,671	\$5,762,481	

Total Available \$3,100,000 Total Requested \$5,762,481



# **2019 Transportation Alternatives (TA) Program Scoring**Palm Beach Transportation Planning Agency

**SCORING CRITERIA** Highest Possible score is 110

		ighest Possible score is 110			
LRTP Value	LRTP Category	Criteria	Value	Scoring	Max
value	LKIP Category	Citteria	*separated bicycle lanes - 4	8	IVIAX
			*10'+ shared-use pathways - 4	8	
		Project improves non-motorized safety	*8' pathways - 3	6	
		by providing*:	*buffered bike lanes - 4	8	
		ay providing .	*designated bike lanes - 2	4	
_	Safety, Security		*new sidewalks- 1	2	
1 & 6	and Complete Streets	Project improves safety and/or convenience safe routes for non-drivers, including child disabilities to access daily needs)	ce for non-motorized users (i.e. provide	10	34
		Improves safety in a location identified as a spot or corridor by the Palm Beach TPA's P		10	
		Local Implementation via Local Agency Pro	gram (LAP) Agreement	5	
	Maximize TPA	FDOT Implementation on State Highway Sy	stem with Local Funding for design	3	1
4	Funds	FDOT Implementation with Local Funding f	or design	1	5
	Tunus	Applicant cancels a previously prioritized a months	nd funded project within the past 12	-5	
		Median Household income within 1 mile	< 60%	5	
		of project vs PBC median income	60 - <80%	3	5
		(\$55,277)	80% - <100% >80%	1	
5	Equity		5		
		Traditionally underserved population	>60 - 80%	4	_
		percentage within 1 mile of project	>40% -60% >20% - 40%	3 2	5
			5-20%	1	
		Project has been tested as a pilot/pop-up v		5	
7a	Local Support	Project is endorsed by members of benefit	area (HOA, POA, local bike group, etc.)	5	
7b	Environmental	Project will have positive environmental in prevention & abatement, stormwater man		5	18
		Projects that provide alternative fuel mode	es of transportation.	3	
	Non make its d	Project improves non-motorized facilities a crossing	at an interchange, bridge, or railroad	6	
9	Non-motorized Connectors	Project improves non-motorized connectivity by providing a direct link to facilities on PBC Thoroughfare Map or within 2 miles of a transit hub, or publicly-accessible historic, cultural, and natural areas		9	15
10	Efficient Transit	Project improves access to a transit hub		10	10
	Donald II	Project is within 2 miles of a school		7	
	Proximity	Project is within 1 mile of a shopping center			15
	Benefit	Project is within 1 mile of a recreational ce		3	1

<sup>\*</sup>Multiply length (up to 2 miles) by factor shown in value column

107

# **2019 TA Program Ranks and Scores**

Policy Rank (no more than one application per sponsor is awarded until all funds are exhausted)	BTPAC Rank	TPA Rank	TPA Score	Applicant	Proposed Lead Location		Description	Amount Requested	Prioritized
1	1	2	54.0	Westgate CRA (Seminole Blvd)	PBC	Seminole Blvd. (Okeechobee to Oswego)	Complete Streets Project. Narrow 14'6" lanes to 12' with 2' shoulders, and widening two 5-foot sidewalks to 12 feet.	\$1,000,000	19-1
2	2	3	52.8	City of Boynton Beach	ВВ	SE 1st St. (Boyton Beach to Wookbright)	Complete Streets Improvements. Add Shared Use Path along western side of roadway which entails drainage work.	\$1,000,000	19-2
3	3	6	47.0	Town of Loxahatchee Groves	PBC	Okeechobee Blvd. (Folsom to A Road) and F Road (Preserve to Okeechobee)	OkeechobeeAdd 4' Horse Trail with fence between Horse Trail and road bed. F Road Add 6' Horse Trail on other side of canal from road bed.	\$886,105	19-3
4	5	4	51.8	Village of Wellington	Wellington	Greenview Shores (Binkes Point to Wellington Trace)	Bike Lanes	\$934,010	Moved to LI
5	6	5	50.0	Indian Trail Improvement District	Unable to specify lead agency	Hall (Temple to Hamlin) and Temple (Seminole Pratt-Whitney to Coconut)	Non-motorized Improvements in including replacing 5' sidewalk and an un-paved multiuse trail directly adjacent to/abutting sidewalk.	\$859,502	Ineligible
6	7	7 (tie)	41.0 (tie)	City of Palm Beach Gardens	Gardens	Within several neighborhoods surrounding Holly Drive.	Pedestrian Crossing Facilities including illuminated crosswalks.	\$335,661	19-4
7	8	7 (tie)	41.0 (tie)	Village of Royal Palm Beach	RPB	Multiple locations throughout village.	Bicycle/Pedestrian Network Wayfinding	\$599,378	19-5
8	4	1	61.6	Westgate CRA (Cherry Rd)	PBC	II DOTTI RO II II DII TO MILITATII	Pedestrian Safety Improvements Project. Widen existing sidewalk on northern side, and	\$617,298	2nd App.

**Total Available Total Requested** 

\$3,100,000 \$6,231,954



### 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan Goals, Objectives, Performance Measures and Targets

			Ac	tual Values					Targets		
Source	Performance Measure	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	1-yr	2-yr	4-yr	2030	2045
Goal 1: Pres	serve										
Maintenand	ce										
Paveme	ent										
FAST Act	Interstate in Good condition	65.2%	58.6%	62.4%	55.2%	n/a			≥ 60.0%		
FAST Act	Interstate in Poor condition	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	n/a			≤ 5.0%		
FAST Act	Non-Interstate NHS in Good condition	21.4%	44.2%	41.7%	40.3%	n/a		≥ 40.0%	≥ 40.0%		
FAST Act	Non-Interstate NHS in Poor condition	8.8%	1.2%	0.4%	0.5%	n/a		≤ 5.0%	≤ 5.0%		
Bridges					•		•	•	•		
FAST Act	NHS bridges in Good condition	n/a	n/a	87.7%	88.1%	n/a		≥ 50.0%	≥ 50.0%		
FAST Act	NHS bridges in Poor condition	n/a	n/a	1.2%	1.1%	n/a		≤ 10.0%	≤ 10.0%		
Transit			•					•			
	Vehicles exceeding useful life	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	≤10%				
FAST Act	Equipment exceeding useful life	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	26%	≤20%				
	Facilities exceeding useful life	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	0%				
Environmer	nt	<del>-</del>	•	<del>-</del>	-	<b>-</b>	-	-	•		•
2040 LRTP	Daily fuel use (gal) per person	1.20	1.24	1.27	1.30	1.29				1.25	0.95
2040 LRTP	Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled per person	25.8	26.4	27.2	26.8	n/a				21.0	20.0
NEW	Percent of electric vehicles in rubber-tire transit fleet	0	0	0	0	0				75%	100%
Resiliency											
Percenta	age of federal aid eligible mileage susceptible t	o inundation	ı by:								
2040 LRTP	1.2-ft sea level rise & historic storm surge	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.9%				3%	2%
NEW	1% chance of annual flooding	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	26.7%				25%	20%



### 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan Goals, Objectives, Performance Measures and Targets

			Ac	tual Values					Targets		
Source	Performance Measure	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	1-yr	2-yr	4-yr	2030	2045
Goal 2: Safe	2										
<b>Vision Zero</b>											
FAST Act	Number of fatalities	130	186	179	160	168	0				
FAST Act	Rate of fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (VMT)	1.014	1.398	1.291	1.139	1.188	0				
FAST Act	Number of serious Injuries	1050	1001	1129	1116	1163	0				
FAST Act	Rate of serious injures per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (VMT)	8.817	7.522	8.141	7.947	6.923	0				
FAST Act	Number of non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries combined	204	201	194	207	177	0				
NEW	Number of rail fatalities	7	10	8	12	13	0				

Goal 3: Effic	Goal 3: Efficient											
Reliability												
FAST Act	Percent of reliable person-miles traveled on the Interstate	86%	85%	86%	84%	n/a		75%	70%			
FAST Act	Percent of reliable person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS	53%	48%	48%	89%	n/a		n/a	50%			
FAST Act	Truck travel time reliability ratio (TTTR) on the Interstate	1.74	1.81	1.84	1.72	n/a		1.75	1.80			
NEW	Ratio of transit vs. drive alone average commute time	1.85	1.93	1.97	1.97	n/a				1.75	1.50	
Productivity	/											
Passenger trips per revenue hour for:												
2040 LRTP	Tri-Rail service	38.6	36.4	36.4	35.0	n/a				40	50	
ZU4U LNIP	Palm Tran fixed route service	26.5	22.4	22.4	18.4	n/a				30	40	



### 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan Goals, Objectives, Performance Measures and Targets

			Ac	tual Values					Targets		
Source	Performance Measure	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	1-yr	2-yr	4-yr	2030	2045
Goal 4: Conr	nected										
<b>Complete St</b>	reets										
Centerlin	ne mileage of federal aid eligible roadways tha	t include:									
NEW	Separated bike lanes	0	0	0	0	0				20	40
	10-ft or wider shared use pathways	25	50	50	50	72				100	150
2040 LRTP	Buffered bike lanes	8	8	8	8	12				20	40
	Designated bike lanes	125	160	180	180	200				300	600
NEW	Sidewalks	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1459				1600	1700
Health & Eq	uity										
Percenta	ge of federal aid eligible mileage with:										
2040 LRTP	Dedicated bicycle facilities within 3 miles of a transit hub	10.0%	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	10.0%				100%	100%
2040 LRTP	Dedicated pedestrian facilities within 1 mile of a transit hub	85.0%	85.0%	85.0%	85.0%	85.0%				100%	100%
NEW	Pedestrian facilities within 2 miles of elementary schools	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	79.0%				90%	100%
NEW	Pedestrian facilities within 1/4 mile of a traditionally underserved community	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	67.7%				70%	80%
Goal 5: Mult	timodal										
Commuter N	Mode Split										
	Walking	1.57%	1.54%	1.49%	1.50%	n/a				5%	10%
2040 LRTP	Biking	0.55%	0.66%	0.67%	0.62%	n/a				3%	5%
	Transit	1.92%	1.97%	1.97%	1.88%	n/a				7%	15%
Freight											
Annual t	onnage of freight for:										
2040 LRTP	Port of Palm Beach	2.15M	2.16M	2.52M	2.48M	2.57M				3.0M	3.5M
2040 LNTP	Palm Beach International Airport	26.5	26.2k	23.6k	25.8k	26.8k				30.0k	40.0k

### **2020 TA PROGRAM SCORING TABLE** Highest Possible Score 100

The Palm Beach TPA's Vision is to have a Safe, Efficient and Connected Multimodal Transportation System.

		TPA Draft Reco	mmendations	BTPAC Recom	mendations
Criteria	Value	Scoring	Max	Scoring	Max
	ental impacts (ie. mitigation activity, pollution prevention & nt, tree canopy coverage, pervious materials, etc.)	10	10		
Projects improves pedestrian faciliti Plan.	es in a high crash pedestrian location per Vision Zero Action	10			
Project improves bicycle facilities in	a high crash bicycle location per Vision Zero Action Plan.	10	30		
Project improves lighting in a location Locations per Vision Zero Action Pla	on with a Pedestrian and/or Bicycle Crash in Dark and Unlit n.	10			
Project improves non-motorized fac	ilities at an interchange, bridge, or railroad crossing	6	6		
Project is within 2 miles of a school		7	7		
	separated or raised bicycle lanes – 4	8			
Project improves non-motorized	buffered bike lanes – 3	6			1
safety by providing:	designated bike lanes – 2	4			
and the second s	10'+ shared-use pathways – 4	8	12		
NOTE: Multiply length (up to 2	8' paved pathways – 3	6			
miles) by factor shown in Value	new sidewalks – 2	4			
column.	sidewalk widenings – 0.5	1			
	greenways – 2	4			
Median Household income within 1	< 60%	5			
mile of project vs PBC median	60 - <80%	3	5		
income (\$57,256)	80% - <100%	1			
Traditionally underserved	>0.8	5			
population index within 1 mile of	>0.6-0.8	4	5		
· ·	>0.4 -0.6 >0.2 - 0.4	3 2	5		
project	0.05-0.2	1			
edicated Bicycle Facilities within 3 miles of Transit Hub		5			
Dedicated Pedestrian Facilities with	in 1 miles of Transit Hub	5	10		
Project is within 1 mile of a shopping	g center or recreational center or park.	5	5		
Project has been tested as a pilot wi	5	5			
Project is endorsed by members of I	5	5			
Applicant canceled a previously prio	ritized and funded project within the past 12 months	-5	0		